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Property is freedom
“Property is theft;
Property is freedom:
these two propositions stand side by side...
and each is shown to be true”

Pierre-Joseph Proudhon

Public Space: The Missing Link Between Freedom and Property

• Public Space Is Where Freedom Happens
• Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
• We Need to Talk About Helicopters
• Divesting Government Property
• Opt-In Trusts
Public Space

Space that is accessible to non-owners without invitation, with reasonable restrictions
Public Space
Space that is accessible to non-owners without invitation, with reasonable restrictions

• Not always “public property.” Government owned and privately owned
• Many types of public space - Open Space, Buildings, Pathways
• Degrees of access with permissions
• Restrictions on entry and occupancy – Fees, hours, use, behavior
• Many private facilities have public space components (i.e. Lobbies)
• Expectation of entry (if not occupancy) on most properties
Public Space Is Where Freedom Happens
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Public Space Is Where Freedom Happens

Freedom of Movement

• Access - enter and exit, with reasonable restrictions (fees for wear and tear, hours of use, etc.)

• Occupancy

• Immigration
Public Space Is Where Freedom Happens

Freedom of Speech

- Public Speaking
- Pamphleteering
- Holding signs
- Information booths
- Media - Video / audio recording & distribution
Public Space Is Where Freedom Happens

Freedom of Association

• Meet with others
• Assembly
• Protest
• Special Events - Block party, parade, bike race
Public Space Is Where Freedom Happens

Freedom of Exchange
• Farmers’ Market
• Boot Sale
• Food Trucks
• Sidewalk Entrepreneurship
• Peer to peer exchange
• Satoshi Squares
Public Space Is Where Freedom Happens

Freedom to Bear Arms / Self-defense

• Transport weapons to private property
• Restrictions on self-defense in public spaces may expose the owners of public space to liability for not protecting occupants
Four Tiers of Public Space

1. **Private Space** – Invitation only / eviction rights. Maximum freedom for owner, minimal freedoms for public.

2. **Permissive Public Space** – Public access and uses permitted by owner. Revocable defined freedoms.

3. **Protected Public Space** – Public access and uses protected by easement, legal rights, etc. Irrevocable defined freedoms.

Public Space Is Where Freedom Happens

We should fight for a free society in which public space exists.

How do we divest public space from government ownership and control while preserving the freedom of public space?
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- Public Space Is Where Freedom Happens
- Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
- We Need to Talk About Helicopters
- Divesting Government Property
- Opt-In Trusts
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property

OF PRIVATE, COMMON, AND PUBLIC PROPERTY AND
THE RATIONALE FOR TOTAL PRIVATIZATION

HANS-HERMANN HOPPE*

I HAVE THREE GOALS. First, I want to clarify the nature and function of private property. Second, I want to clarify the distinction between “common” goods and property and “public” goods and property, and explain the construction error inherent in the institution of public goods and property. Third, I want to explain the rationale and principle of privatization.

Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property

Property Ownership as Conflict Avoidance (paraphrased)

• Physical conflicts over scarce goods can be avoided if every good is exclusively controlled by some specified individual or group.

• To avoid all physical conflict from the beginning of mankind, all property must go back through a chain of conflict-free property title transfers to acts of original appropriation (homesteading).
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property

Hoppe’s Village – Unowned / Unused Land (State of Nature)
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property

Hoppe’s Village – Unowned Land In Use

- Tier 1 Private Space
- Tier 2 Permissive Public Space
- Tier 3 Protected Public Space
- Tier 4 Unowned Public Space
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Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
Hoppe’s Village – Homesteaded Private Property

Tier 1 Private Space
Tier 2 Permissive Public Space
Tier 3 Protected Public Space
Tier 4 Unowned Public Space
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Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
Hoppe’s Village – Homesteaded Private Neighborhood
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
Hoppe’s Village – Public Space Conflict (Scarcity)
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property

Solution 1 - Government-Owned “Public” Property

Villagers form a government to own and manage the street.

The Government:

• Restricts access by villagers and foreigners
• Sets rules and regulations
• Controls commercial activity and development on street
• Requires payment - user fees or taxes
• Does not allow exit from ownership
• Gains control over abutting private property (encirclement)
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
Hoppe’s Village – Government-Owned “Public” Property
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
Solution 2 – Homesteaded Private Property
Individual or group “homesteads” the road by making repairs, granting them exclusive ownership.

The Owner:
- Restricts access by villagers and foreigners
- Sets rules and regulations
- Controls commercial activity and development on street
- Requires payment - user fees or taxes subscription
- Does not allow exit from joining ownership
- Gains control over abutting private property (encirclement)
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Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property

Hoppe’s Village – Homesteaded Private Property

Tier 1 Private Space
Tier 2 Permissive Public Space
Tier 3 Protected Public Space
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Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property

Solution 2.1 – Homesteaded Private Property with Easement

Individual or group “homesteads” the road by making repairs, granting them exclusive ownership. Villagers are granted a right-of-way easement.

The Owner:

• Restricts access by villagers and foreigners
• Sets rules and regulations
• Controls commercial activity and development on street
• Requires payment by foreigners only - user fees or taxes subscription
• Does not allow exit from joining ownership
• Gains control over Restricts foreigners’ access to abutting private property (encirclement) (border control)
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
Hoppe’s Village – Homesteaded Private Property / Easement
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property

Hoppe’s Easement Problem

“For, by definition, as the first appropriator he cannot have run into any conflict with anyone in appropriating the good in question, as everyone else appeared on the scene only later.”

Easement means:

• First appropriator *did* run into conflict, with previous users
• Use alone creates property rights, not just Lockean labor (improvements)
• Property rights can be granted to an unorganized collective (public), not just individual or organized group entity
• Property rights are divisible and can be allocated, not just exclusive control.
Modes of Property Ownership
(borrowed from Cynefin project management theory)

- **Disorder** - Unowned land
- **Simple Ownership** – Property rights allocated to **one** defined individual or group
- **Complicated Ownership** – Property rights allocated among **multiple** defined individuals or groups
- **Complex Ownership** – Property rights allocated among multiple defined and **undefined** individuals or groups (i.e. the public)
- **Chaotic Ownership** - **Unpredictable allocation** of property rights among multiple defined and undefined individuals or groups
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
Hoppe’s Village – Homesteaded Private Property
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
Hoppe’s Village – Homesteaded Private Property / Easement
Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property

Hoppe’s Village – Protected Public Space
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- Public Space Is Where Freedom Happens
- Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
- We Need to Talk About Helicopters
- Divesting Government Property
- Opt-In Trusts
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We Need to Talk About Helicopters

Physical Removal
So to Speak

u/medieval_englishman
https://www.reddit.com/user/medieval_englishman
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We Need to Talk About Helicopters

no such thing as a right to free (unlimited) speech exists, not even to unlimited speech on one’s own tenant-property. ...no one is permitted to advocate ideas contrary to the very covenant of preserving and protecting private property, such as democracy and communism. There can be no tolerance toward democrats and communists in a libertarian social order. They will have to be physically separated and removed from society.”

We Need to Talk About Helicopters

“In a covenant concluded among proprietor and community tenants for the purpose of protecting their private property, no such thing as a right to free (unlimited) speech exists, not even to unlimited speech on one’s own tenant-property. ...no one is permitted to advocate ideas contrary to the very covenant of preserving and protecting private property, such as democracy and communism. There can be no tolerance toward democrats and communists in a libertarian social order. They will have to be physically separated and removed from society.”

We Need to Talk About Helicopters
Hoppe’s Anarcho-Capitalism

“[In an anarcho-capitalist society] All land is privately owned, including all streets, rivers, airports, harbors, etc. ... As is currently the case in some housing developments, the owner may be bound by contractual limitations on what he can do with his property (voluntary zoning)...
Clearly, under this scenario there exists no such thing as freedom of immigration. Rather, there exists the freedom of many independent private property owners to admit or exclude others from their own property in accordance with their own unrestricted or restricted property titles.”

We Need to Talk About Helicopters
A covenant among proprietor and community tenants
We Need to Talk About Helicopters
What people get wrong about Hoppe
• “Physical Removal” means eviction from private property (Tier 1 Private Space) by its owner. That’s it. No helicopters, folks.
• Hoppe’s restrictions on speech are consented to within the covenant community and do not apply outside that community.
We Need to Talk About Helicopters

What Hoppe gets right:

• In a covenant community, property owners can voluntarily agree to mutually restrict their freedoms, including speech about communism.
• Covenant violators could be evicted from the community, if allowed by the covenant terms.
• This is not aggression. The violator consented to removal.
We Need to Talk About Helicopters
What Hoppe gets wrong:
We Need to Talk About Helicopters
What Hoppe gets wrong:

Shhh... the libertarians are listening
We Need to Talk About Helicopters

What Hoppe gets wrong:

- Covenant community restrictions only apply to property owners.
- “Libertarian” covenant communities would not choose to restrict speech, movement, etc. even if such covenants were possible, which they aren’t.
- Collectivized covenant communities are not “a libertarian social order.” They are communist.
- How do covenant communities make decisions? Democracy!
- No government-run nation, state, or village is a voluntary covenant community.
We Need to Talk About Helicopters
What Hoppe gets wrong:

Private ownership of public space does not necessarily grant the owner right to admit or exclude others.

In a libertarian society, there should be a network of protected public spaces from which you cannot be physically removed.
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Divesting Government Property

Why Divest Government Property?

• Basis for the state’s power and perceived legitimacy
• Private landownership maximizes freedom for landowner and minimizes conflict among permitted users
• Protected Public Space can maximize freedom for the public and minimize conflict through negotiated easements / rules
• Less justification for eminent domain
• Municipal police are not needed to secure private property
• Windfall capital endowment for the poor (and everyone else)
### Divesting Government Property

**Land Available for Homesteading**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unimproved Land</th>
<th>Improved Land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unowned (State of Nature)</strong></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner may need to justify ownership claim without improvements (i.e. preservation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Private Owner, not abandoned</strong></td>
<td>✖️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional homesteaded private property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Private Owner, Abandoned</strong></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unimproved land reverts to state of nature. Internal parcel boundaries are invalidated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Private Owner, Illegitimate claim</strong></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On unimproved land, consider giving priority to establish a homesteading claim</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Government Owner</strong></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unimproved land reverts to state of nature. Internal parcel boundaries are invalidated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tim Brochu  
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Divesting Government Property

Methods of Divestiture

- To the Taxpayers (Hoppe)
- To the Workers
- To the Users
- To the Abutters
- To the Citizens
- To the Creditors

- To the Victims of History (Restitution)
- To the Highest Bidder (Auction)
- Lottery
- Vouchers
- Seizure in revolution
## Divesting Government Property
### Methods of Divesting Government Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria: Preserve Property Rights:</th>
<th>Seizure in revolution</th>
<th>Taxpayer retribution</th>
<th>To the workers</th>
<th>To the creators</th>
<th>Auction</th>
<th>Abutters claims</th>
<th>To the Citizens</th>
<th>To the victims of history</th>
<th>To the users</th>
<th>Lottery</th>
<th>Vouchers</th>
<th>Opt-In trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does not infringe on existing property ownership rights</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not eliminate existing access and use rights</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not invalidate existing land parcels</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not invalidate land title history</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not result in developed land being unowned</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allows for ongoing preservation of preserved / historical land</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Divesting Government Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation Criteria:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perception of “Fairness:”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Does not privilege the privileged | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ |
| Does not disempower the disempowered                                            | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ |
| Minimizes likelihood of a “power grab” by elites                              | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ |
| Places burden of costs on those creating the costs (i.e. wear and tear)          | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ |
| Does not require users with easements to pay profits in excess of costs to owners (bridge toll) | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ |
| Benefits to new “public” owners outweigh benefits to “public” of government ownership | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ |
| Does not limit ownership based on place or time of birth or habitation           | ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ |
### Divesting Government Property

#### Methods of Divesting Government Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of Divestiture</th>
<th>Seize in revolution</th>
<th>Taxpayer retribution</th>
<th>To the workers</th>
<th>To the creditors</th>
<th>Auction</th>
<th>Abutters claims</th>
<th>To the Citizens</th>
<th>To the victims of history</th>
<th>To the users</th>
<th>Lottery</th>
<th>Vouchers</th>
<th>Opt-In trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Evaluation Criteria:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voluntary Ownership:</th>
<th>Does not require someone to own something they do not want to own</th>
<th>Does not prohibit someone from owning something they want to own</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>~ ~ ~ ~ ☑</td>
<td>☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☒ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☒ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒ ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Divesting Government Property

### Methods of Divesting Government Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of Divestiture</th>
<th>Seizure in revolution</th>
<th>Taxpayer retribution</th>
<th>To the workers</th>
<th>To the creditors</th>
<th>Auction</th>
<th>Abutters claims</th>
<th>To the Citizens</th>
<th>To the victims of history</th>
<th>To the users</th>
<th>Lottery</th>
<th>Vouchers</th>
<th>Op-In trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-violent transfer of property</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consensual transfer of property</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(acceptable or even desirable to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>governments)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piecemeal transfer of property (one road</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or park at a time if desired)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not require dissolution of</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>existing government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unambiguous distribution of ownership</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shares</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Evaluation Criteria:

**Reasonable Transfer Mechanism:**

- Seizure in revolution
- Taxpayer retribution
- To the workers
- To the creditors
- Auction
- Abutters claims
- To the Citizens
- To the victims of history
- To the users
- Lottery
- Vouchers
- Op-In trust

Tim Brochu  anarchitecturepodcast.com
Public Space: The Missing Link Between Freedom and Property

• Public Space Is Where Freedom Happens
• Hoppe’s Private, Common, and Public Property
• We Need to Talk About Helicopters
• Divestig Government Property
• Opt-In Trusts
Opt-in Trusts

A form of non-governmental public ownership
Opt-in Trusts

Non-governmental public ownership

• Anyone can establish an ownership share at no cost
• Anyone can relinquish an ownership share
• Owners choose board members / management
• Owners have a stake in decision making
• Owners receive benefits of ownership (profit)
• Owners may be responsible for costs
• Owners establish access rights and rules
Opt-in Trusts

Creating an Opt-in Trust

- Someone creates a Declaration of Trust (legal document)
- Defines criteria and process for opting-in
- Defines rights and responsibilities of owners and users
- Individuals opt-in to claim ownership shares
- New owners further evolve Trust policies
Opt-in Trusts
Divesting Government Property to an Opt-in Trust

• Anarchitecture Podcast convinces governments to divest property
• Various Opt-in Trusts compete to persuade government to divest to them
• Multiple Opt-in Trusts may merge to be more viable
• Government transitions ownership of a specific property to a Trust
Opt-in Trusts

Sources of Revenue

• Owner Fees (may be limited by Trust)
• User Fees (may be limited by easements)
• Abutter Impact Fees (curb cuts, utility work)
• Utility Fees (purchase easements, work permit fees)
• Land-Leases (mining, logging, operators, food trucks, events)
• Advertising (billboards, signboards, naming rights)
• Donations
Opt-in Trusts
Raising Capital For Improvements

- Owner Fees (may be limited by Trust)
- Investment Shares – Separate from Opt-In Shares. Proportional to value of improvements
- Bonds – May be collateralized by improvements (not land value)
- Asset Sales – Limited by Trust and easements.
Opt-in Trusts
Maintenance Costs
• Paid by Trust
• Wear and tear
• Security
• Insurance
• Claim Damages
• Management / Administrative
Opt-in Trusts

Profits

• Savings for future improvements
• Discounts to users
• Dividends to Opt-In Shares. Each additional share dilutes previous shares.
• Dividends to Investment Shares. Proportional to value of improvements.
Conclusion

• Public space is where freedom happens
• 4 Tiers – Private, Permissive, Protected, Unowned
• Modes of Ownership – Disorder, Simple, Complicated, Complex, Chaotic
• A libertarian society should have a network of protected public spaces connecting sovereign private properties
• Government property should be divested to public forms of ownership with protections for established freedoms
• Opt-In Trusts may be the best method of divestiture
Public Space: The Missing Link Between Freedom and Property
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